TRUMPED OF LARGE DIGEDICAL COLIDA

	X
Plaintiff,	
	ANSWER
	Civil Action No.:
	07 Civ. 7766 (NRB) (KNF)
Defendant.	
	Plaintiff,

The defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, named by his attorneys, FRANKFORT & KOLTUN, ESQS., answering the Complaint of the plaintiff herein, respectfully shows this Court and alleges as follows:

FIRST: Defendant denies any knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraphs designated "1", "3", "4" and "5" of the Complaint herein.

SECOND: Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs designated "6", "7", "8", "9", "10", "11" and "13" of the Complaint herein.

THIRD: Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 
"2" of the Complaint herein, except admits KENNETH J. ROBERTS is a United States citizen 
and has an interest in property at 3099 Mandeville Canyon Road, Los Angeles, California 90049 
and Trump Tower, 721 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10019.

FOURTH: Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "12" of the Complaint herein, except admits on June 8, 2006, Fundex assigned the mortgage back to Roberts.

#### ANSWERING AN ALLEGED FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Answering paragraph "14" of the Complaint, defendant repeats, FIFTH: reiterates and realleges each and every admission and denial heretofore made in answer to paragraphs designated "1" through "13".

Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in SIXTH: paragraphs designated "16:, "17", "18" and "19" of the Complaint herein.

Defendant denies any knowledge or information sufficient to form SEVENTH: a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "15" of the Complaint herein and respectfully refers all questions of Law to this Honorable Court for ultimate determination.

## ANSWERING AN ALLEGED SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Answering paragraph "20" of the Complaint, defendant repeats, EIGHTH: reiterates and realleges each and every admission and denial heretofore made in answer to paragraphs designated "1" through "19".

NINTH: Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs designated "21", "22", "23", "24" and "25" of the Complaint herein.

#### ANSWERING AN ALLEGED THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

TENTH: Answering paragraph "26" of the Complaint, defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every admission and denial heretofore made in answer to paragraphs designated "1" through "25".

ELEVENTH: Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs designated "27", "28", "29", "30" and "31" of the Complaint herein.

#### AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

TWELFTH:

That plaintiff breached the Agreement with defendant.

## AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

THIRTEENTH:

That the plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, failed to name a

necessary party.

#### AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

FOURTEENTH: That the statute of limitations has expired on plaintiff's causes of action for fraud and conversion and therefore, these causes of action should be dismissed.

#### AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

FIFTEENTH: That the plaintiff has failed to make any payments under the mortgage agreement and has breached the terms of the mortgage agreement and is currently in default.

#### AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

SIXTEENTH: That the defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS as guarantor, paid off and fully satisfied the subject mortgage.

# AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

SEVENTEENTH: That the subject mortgage is no longer held by the defendant.

## AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

EIGHTEENTH: That plaintiff and defendant had a meeting in 2006 during which plaintiff acknowledged her debt to the defendant and stated she would pay him back. That

based on the relationship between the parties, as well as discussions, it was agreed that the defendant would temporarily forbear on any collection of said mortgage.

#### AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

NINETEENTH: That based on principles of equity, including but not limited to, the fact that plaintiff received great benefit from the monies borrowed under said mortgage, defendant is entitled to pursue a claim of equitable subrogation against the defendant to recoup those monies defendant paid back on behalf of the plaintiff.

#### AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

TWENTIETH: That the Complaint fails to state a cause of action against the defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS.

# AS AND FOR COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT RESPECTFULLY ALLEGES UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF:

TWENTY-FIRST: That defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, executed said mortgage as a guarantor.

TWENTY-SECOND: That the mortgage benefited both defendant, KENNETH J.

ROBERTS, and plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, as both plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, and defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, received monies in exchange for executing the initial mortgage agreement.

TWENTY-THIRD: That it was agreed between plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, and defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, that they would each be responsible for paying back their respective portions of the monies due under the subject mortgage.

TWENTY-FOURTH: That at no time did plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, pay back any monies toward said mortgage for which she was responsible and that defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, paid back all monies for which he was responsible as per their agreement.

TWENTY-FIFTH: That in the end, defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, paid back all monies due under said mortgage including those monies that were to be paid by plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY.

TWENTY-SIXTH: That plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, never paid back defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, for those monies that she received under said mortgage.

TWENTY-SEVENTH: That defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, was then assigned the mortgage and has held same.

TWENTY-EIGHTH: That in 2006, plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, requested a meeting with defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS and did, in fact, meet with him. Plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, acknowledged the subject debt she owed to defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, and indicated she would pay him back all monies owed and that she would sell the subject apartments. Based on this conversation and meeting, defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, agreed to forbear on collection of the monies due. Defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, did not hear again from plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, until the initiation of the subject lawsuit.

# AS AND FOR A FIRST COUNTERCLAIM CAUSE OF ACTION

TWENTY-NINTH: That plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, has breached her agreement with defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, to pay back said monies.

THIRTIETH: That the amount presently due defendant, KENNETH J. RICHARDS, is approximately \$1,800,000.00 based on interest accrued over the years.

THIRTY-FIRST: That based on said breach, plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, owes defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, damages of approximately \$1,800,000.00.

## AS AND FOR A SECOND COUNTERCLAIM CAUSE OF ACTION

THIRTY-SECOND: Defendant repeats and reiterates each and every allegation in said counterclaim.

THIRTY-THIRD: That the plaintiff, TESSA KENNEDY, has been unjustly enriched and that as a result thereof, owes defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, approximately \$1,800,000.00.

#### AS AND FOR A THIRD COUNTERCLAIM CAUSE OF ACTION

THIRTY-FOURTH: Defendant repeats and reiterates each and every allegation in said counterclaim.

THIRTY-FIFTH: That the principles of equity warrant the imposition of a constructive trust in favor of the defendant, KENNETH J. ROBERTS, in the amount of \$1,800,000.00.

WHEREFORE, defendant seeks dismissal of the Complaint and demands judgment on the Counterclaim for \$1,800,000.00, together with interest, costs and disbursements.

DATED:

Deer Park, New York February 1, 2008

Yours, etc.,

FRANKFORT & KOLTUN, ESQS.

SCOTT A. KOLTUN (SK 7661)

Attorneys for Defendant 2061 Deer Park Avenue Deer Park, NY 11729

(516) 242-7815

L

(212) 957-9000 Attorneys for Plaintiff 331 Madison Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Fl. New York, NY 10017 COOPER, BROWN & BEHRLE, P.C. :OT STATE OF NEW YORK )
)ss.:
COUNTY OF SUFFOLK )

JESSICA L. O'SULLIVAN, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

That deponent is not a party to the action, is over 18 years of age, and resides in Suffolk County, New York.

That on the 1st day of February, 2008, deponent served the within ANSWER upon the following attorneys, at the following addresses designated by said attorneys for that purpose by depositing a true copy of same, enclosed in a post-paid, properly addressed wrapper, in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York:

COOPER, BROWN & BEHRLE, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 331 Madison Ave New York, NY 10017

Sworn to before me this 1<sup>st</sup> day of February 2008.

Notary Public

V

## IndCase 17.066cv-07766-NRB Ye 0000 MRR 10 KN Filed 02/01/2008 Rage 9 of 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TITTOO A	TETTA IN THIS	335
IHILA	KENNEI	1 V
1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1	INTERNITY	J 1

Plaintiff,

-against-

KENNETH ROBERTS,

Defendant.

#### ANSWER

# FRANKFORT & KOLTUN

Attorneys for

Defendant

Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 2061 Deer Park Avenue DEER PARK, NEW YORK 11729 (631) 242-7815

То	Signature (Rule 130-1.1-a)	
Attorney(s) for	Print name beneath	
Service of a copy of the within	is hereby admitted.	
Dated,		
	Attorney(s) for	

Please take notice □ NOTICE OF ENTRY

that the within is a (certified) true copy of a

duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on

at

□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT

that an order

of which the within is a true copy will be presented for

one of the judges

of the within named court, at

settlement to the HON.

M

Dated,

To

Yours, etc.

FRANKFORT & KOLTUN

Attorneys for

Office and Post Office Address 2061 Deer Park Avenue DEER PARK, NEW YORK 11729

Attorney(s) for